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#### Abstract

Another demonstration of a theorem on the calculus of variations derived recently by Hojman and Harleston (using Helmholtz conditions) is presented.


## 1. Introduction

Recently Hojman and Harleston (1981) have demonstrated the following theorem on the calculus of variations. Consider the Lagrangian $L=L\left(q^{i}, \dot{q}^{i}, t\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{2} L / \partial \dot{q}_{i} \partial \dot{q}_{j}\right) \neq 0
$$

which leads to the set of equations

$$
G_{i} \equiv(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(\partial L / \partial \dot{\partial}_{i}\right)-\left(\partial L / \partial q_{i}\right)=0
$$

The Lagrangian $\bar{L}=\bar{L}\left(q^{i}, \dot{q}^{i}, t\right)$, with

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{2} \bar{L} / \partial \dot{q}_{i} \partial \dot{q}_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

is said to be subordinate (or equivalent) to $L$ iff

$$
\left\{G_{i}=0\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{\bar{G}_{i} \equiv(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(\partial \bar{L} / \partial \dot{q}_{j}\right)-\left(\partial \bar{L} / \partial q_{j}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Theorem. If $\bar{L}$ is subordinate to $L$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{i}=A_{i}^{j}(q, \dot{q}, t) G_{i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$, then the trace (or the trace of all integer powers) of $A$ is a constant of the motion. (The assumption that $A^{-1}$, the inverse matrix to $A$, exists, implies that $L$ is subordinate to $\bar{L}$, and this is assumed.)

Henneaux (1981) has derived this result in a more geometrical fashion. Lutzky (1982) also did the same using Cartan form; Gonzalez-Gascon (1982) directly from Euler's equations.

Here, we derive it using the Helmholtz conditions (Helmholtz 1887).

## 2. Helmholtz conditions

Helmholtz conditions are necessary and sufficient conditions for a given set of equations $G_{i}(q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}, t), i=1, \ldots, n$, to be derived from Hamilton's variational principle, which are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial G_{i} / \partial \ddot{q}_{j}=\partial G_{j} / \partial \ddot{q}_{i}  \tag{2a}\\
& \frac{\partial G_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}+\frac{\partial G_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial G_{i}}{\partial \ddot{q}_{i}}+\frac{\partial G_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)  \tag{2b}\\
& \frac{\partial G_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial G_{j}}{\partial q_{i}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial G_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}-\frac{\partial G_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{2c}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2a) and (2b) it immediately follows that $G_{i}$ must be linear in $\ddot{q}$. Hence, without loss of generality we can restrict ourselves to equations of the form (Engels 1975)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}(\dot{q}, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}, t) \equiv G_{i k}(q, \dot{q}, t) \ddot{q}_{k}+g_{i}(q, \dot{q}, t)=0 \quad i, k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(using the summation convention). With this the Helmholtz conditions are transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i j} \equiv G_{j i} \quad \partial G_{i k} / \partial \dot{q}_{i} \equiv \partial G_{i k} / \partial \dot{q}_{i}  \tag{4a,b}\\
& \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}+\frac{\partial g_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \equiv 2\left(\frac{\partial G_{i j}}{\partial q_{k}} \dot{q}_{k}+\frac{\partial G_{i j}}{\partial t}\right)  \tag{4c}\\
& \frac{\partial G_{i k}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial G_{j k}}{\partial q_{i}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} g_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \tag{4d}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{j}}{\partial q_{i}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}}{\partial q_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}}{\partial q_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \dot{q}_{k}+\frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}}{\partial t \partial \dot{q}_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} g_{j}}{\partial t \partial \dot{q}_{i}} \quad i, j, k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4e}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of $\bar{L}$ also will be assured by imposing Helmholtz conditions on

$$
\bar{G}_{i} \equiv A_{i}^{k} G_{k m} \ddot{q}_{m}+A_{i}^{k} g_{k} \equiv 0
$$

which take

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{k} G_{k j}=A_{j}^{k} G_{k i}  \tag{5a}\\
& \partial\left(A_{i}^{k} G_{k m}\right) / \partial \dot{q}_{i}=\partial\left(A_{j}^{k} G_{k m}\right) / \partial \dot{q}_{i}  \tag{5b}\\
& \frac{\partial\left(A_{i}^{k} g_{k}\right)}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}+\frac{\partial\left(A_{i}^{k} g_{k}\right)}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}=2\left(\frac{\partial\left(A_{i}^{k} G_{k j}\right)}{\partial q_{m}} \dot{q}_{m}+\frac{\partial\left(A_{j}^{k} G_{k j}\right)}{\partial t}\right)  \tag{5c}\\
& \frac{\partial\left(A_{i}^{m} G_{m k}\right)}{\partial q_{i}}-\frac{\partial\left(A_{j}^{m} G_{m k}\right)}{\partial q_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{i}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\dot{q}_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{j}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial \dot{q}_{k} \partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)  \tag{5d}\\
& \frac{\partial\left(A_{i}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial\left(A_{j}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial q_{i}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{i}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial q_{n} \partial \dot{q}_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{j}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial q_{n} \partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \ddot{q}_{n}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{i}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial t \partial \dot{q}_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(A_{j}^{m} g_{m}\right)}{\partial t \partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)\right] . \tag{5e}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Demonstration of the theorem

Equation ( $5 c$ ) can be put in the form
$\left(\frac{\partial A_{i}^{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}+\frac{\partial A_{j}^{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) g_{m}+A_{i}^{m} \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}+A_{j}^{m} \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}-2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(A_{i}^{m} G_{m i}\right)+2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{n}}\left(A_{i}^{m} G_{m j}\right)\right) \ddot{q}_{n}=0$.
On the other hand, using ( $4 a$ ), ( $4 b$ ), ( $5 a$ ) and ( $5 b$ ), the last term of (6) can be written as
$2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{n}}\left(A_{i}^{m} G_{m j}\right)\right) \ddot{q}_{n}=\left(\frac{\partial A_{i}^{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}+\frac{\partial A_{i}^{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) G_{m n} \ddot{q}_{n}+A_{i}^{m} \frac{\partial G_{m n}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}} \ddot{q}_{n}+A_{j}^{m} \frac{\partial G_{m n}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \ddot{q}_{n}$.
Using (3) in (7), we get
$2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{n}}\left(A_{i}^{m} G_{m j}\right)\right) \ddot{q}_{n}$

$$
=-\left(\frac{\partial A_{i}^{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}+\frac{A_{j}^{m}}{\dot{q}_{i}}\right) g_{m}-A_{i}^{m} \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}-A_{j}^{m} \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}-A_{i}^{m} G_{m n} \frac{\partial \ddot{q}_{n}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}-A_{i}^{m} G_{m n} \frac{\partial \ddot{q}_{n}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} .
$$

Using this in (6) results in
$2(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(A_{i}^{m}\right) G_{m j}+2 A_{i}^{m}(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(G_{m j}\right)+A_{i}^{m} G_{m n}\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{j}\right)+A_{j}^{m} G_{m n}\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{i}\right)=0$.
Now, from (4c), using (3), we get

$$
2(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(G_{i j}\right)=-G_{i n}\left(\partial \dot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{j}\right)-G_{j n}\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{i}\right)
$$

which on substitution into (8) and using (4a) and (5a) yields the following result

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(A_{i}^{m}\right) G_{m j}-A_{i}^{m}\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{m}\right) G_{n j}+\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{i}\right) A_{n}^{m} G_{m i}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (9) by $G_{j k}^{-1}$, elements of the inverse matrix to $G$, effecting the sum on $j$ and utilising the relation

$$
G_{i j} G_{i k}^{-1}=\delta_{i k},
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{k}\right)-\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{m}\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{k} / \partial \dot{q}_{m}\right)+\left(\partial \ddot{q}_{n} / \partial \dot{q}_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{n}^{k}=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see from (10) that

$$
2(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} t)\left(A_{k}^{k}\right)=0
$$

i.e., the trace of $A$ is a constant of motion. To prove the same result for the trace of all integer powers of $A$, from the above result, is trivial.
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